

Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed August 1, 2007. A Petition for Extension of Time is submitted herewith, together with the appropriate fee.

I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections

Prior to the Office Action mailed August 1, 2007, Claims 1-9 and 21-31 were pending in the Application. In the Office Action, Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed toward non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-9 and 21-31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

II. Summary of Applicant's Amendment

The present Response amends Claims 1, 7, 21, 26, 30 and 31, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration Claims 1-9 and 21-31. Reconsideration of the Application, as amended, is respectfully requested. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to prosecute any originally presented or canceled claims in a continuing or future application.

III. Specification

The present Response hereby amends the Specification paragraph [0087]. The disclosure added to the Specification is incorporated from the U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/105,963 (e.g. see pages 15-16). The present Application claims priority to this provisional application and the provisional application was incorporated by reference into the present Application in its entirety at the time of filing. Applicant respectfully submits that the disclosure is being explicitly incorporated purely for purposes of clarity and that no new matter is being added.

IV. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

In the Office Action mailed August 1, 2007, Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed toward non-statutory subject matter. The present Response hereby amends independent Claims 1 and 7 so as to more clearly define the statutory subject matter therein. Applicant respectfully submits that as amended, Claims 1-9

now comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101 and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

V. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

In the Office Action, Claims 1-9 and 21-31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. More particularly, the limitation “such that each separate application in the system is guarded by a different security engine” was rejected as not being disclosed in the original Specification.

Claims 1, 7, 21, 26, 30 and 31

The present Response hereby amends Claims 1, 7, 21, 26, 30 and 31 to be more precisely congruent with the language used in the Specification. Applicant respectfully submits that in light of the amendment to the claims and to the Specification, Claims 1, 7, 21, 26, 30 and 31 now comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112 and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-6, 8-9, 22-25 and 27-29

Claims 2-6, 8-9, 22-25 and 27-29 are not addressed separately, but it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable as depending from an allowable independent claim, and further in view of the comments provided above.

It is also submitted that these claims also add their own limitations which render them patentable in their own right. Applicant respectfully reserves the right to argue these limitations should it become necessary in the future.

VI. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for extending the time to respond up to and including December 3, 2007.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 3, 2007

By: /Justas Geringson/
Justas Geringson
Reg. No. 57,033

Customer No.: 23910
FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800
Fax: (415) 362-2928